Tree-based mixtures: Application in epidemiology

Stéphane Robin

joint work with P. Barbillon, L. Schwaller

Sorbonne Université / LPSM

Bayesian Methods for the Social Sciences, IHP, Oct. 2022

S. Robin (Sorbonne Université / LPSM)

Introduction

Spred of an 'epidemics' [BSR+19]

Data: *n* times, *p* individuals,

 Y_{tj} = status ('sick' / 'healthy') of individual j at time t



Introduction

Spred of an 'epidemics' [BSR+19]

Data: *n* times, *p* individuals,

 Y_{tj} = status ('sick' / 'healthy') of individual j at time t



Assumption: 'Contamination' spreads according to some 'social' network (not anybody can be 'contaminated' by anybody)

Introduction

Spred of an 'epidemics' [BSR+19]

Data: *n* times, *p* individuals,

 Y_{tj} = status ('sick' / 'healthy') of individual j at time t



Assumption: 'Contamination' spreads according to some 'social' network (not anybody can be 'contaminated' by anybody)

Final aim: Learn something about this network.

For now: Observe that the path of the 'epidemics' is a tree.

S. Robin (Sorbonne Université / LPSM)

Tree-based mixtures

Reminder on graphical models and trees

Outline

Reminder on graphical models and trees

Tree shaped distributions and mixtures

Spread of an epidemics

S. Robin (Sorbonne Université / LPSM)

Graphical models

Undirected graphical model [Lau96]: the multivariate distribution p is faithful to the graph G iff

$$p(\mathbf{Y}) \propto \prod_{C \in \mathcal{C}(G)} \psi_C(\mathbf{Y}^C)$$

(i.e., iff p can be factorized according to the set C(G) of maximal cliques of G)

Graphical models

Undirected graphical model [Lau96]: the multivariate distribution p is faithful to the graph G iff

$$p(\mathbf{Y}) \propto \prod_{C \in \mathcal{C}(G)} \psi_C(\mathbf{Y}^C)$$

(i.e., iff p can be factorized according to the set C(G) of maximal cliques of G)

Example:



$$p(Y) \propto \psi_1(Y_1, Y_2, Y_3) \psi_2(Y_3, Y_4)$$

- Connected graph: all variables are dependent
- $Y_3 = \text{separator:} (Y_4 \perp (Y_1, Y_2)) | Y_3$

Tree-shaped graphical model

Spanning tree = acyclic graph connecting all the nodes



Tree-shaped graphical model

Spanning tree = acyclic graph connecting all the nodes



Tree-shaped graphical model: p faithful to the spanning tree T

$$\Leftrightarrow \qquad \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{Y}) \propto \prod_{(j,k)\in T} \psi_{jk}(\mathbf{Y}_j,\mathbf{Y}_k)$$

(cliques are only edges)

→ All variables are dependent (*spanning*) but few are conditionnaly dependent (*tree*)

Maximum likelihood inference

Consider $Y \sim p$, faithful to T:

$$\log p_T(Y) = \sum_{(j,k)\in T} \log \psi_{jk}(Y_j, Y_k) + \text{cst}$$

¹Actually $\psi_{jk}(Y_j, Y_k) = p(Y_j, Y_k) / (p(Y_j)p(Y_k))$, so edge weight = mutual information

Maximum likelihood inference

Consider $Y \sim p$, faithful to T:

$$\log p_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbf{Y}) = \sum_{(j,k)\in\mathcal{T}} \log \psi_{jk}(\mathbf{Y}_j,\mathbf{Y}_k) + \mathsf{cst}$$

Suppose each ψ_{ik} has a parametric form and that MLEs $\hat{\psi}_{ik}$ is available.

 $^{^{1}\}text{Actually }\psi_{jk}(Y_{j},Y_{k})=p(Y_{j},Y_{k})/\left(p(Y_{j})p(Y_{k})\right)\text{, so edge weight}=\text{mutual information}$

Maximum likelihood inference

Consider $Y \sim p$, faithful to T:

$$\log p_T(Y) = \sum_{(j,k)\in T} \log \psi_{jk}(Y_j, Y_k) + \text{cst}$$

Suppose each ψ_{jk} has a parametric form and that MLEs $\hat{\psi}_{jk}$ is available.

Tree MLE. Finding

$$\hat{T} = \underset{T \in \mathcal{T}}{\arg \max} \log p_T(Y)$$

amounts to solve a maximum spanning tree problem, where edge (j, k) has weight

$$\log \widehat{\psi}_{jk}(Y_j,Y_k)$$

(Chow & Liu algorithm¹: [CL68])

¹Actually $\psi_{jk}(Y_j, Y_k) = p(Y_j, Y_k) / (p(Y_j)p(Y_k))$, so edge weight = mutual information

Tree shaped distributions and mixtures

Outline

Reminder on graphical models and trees

Tree shaped distributions and mixtures

Spread of an epidemics

S. Robin (Sorbonne Université / LPSM)

Mixture of tree-shaped distributions [MJ06,Kir07].

Tree marginal distribution: product form

$$p(T) = B^{-1} \prod_{(j,k)\in T} \beta_{jk}, \qquad B = \sum_{T\in \mathcal{T}} \prod_{(j,k)\in T} \beta_{jk}.$$

Mixture of tree-shaped distributions [MJ06,Kir07].

Tree marginal distribution: product form

$$p(T) = B^{-1} \prod_{(j,k) \in T} \beta_{jk}, \qquad B = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}} \prod_{(j,k) \in T} \beta_{jk}.$$

Data conditional distribution (likelihood):

$$p(Y \mid T) \propto \prod_{(j,k)\in T} \psi_{jk}(Y_j, Y_k).$$

Mixture of tree-shaped distributions [MJ06,Kir07].

Tree marginal distribution: product form

$$p(T) = B^{-1} \prod_{(j,k) \in T} \beta_{jk}, \qquad B = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}} \prod_{(j,k) \in T} \beta_{jk}.$$

Data conditional distribution (likelihood):

$$p(Y \mid T) \propto \prod_{(j,k)\in T} \psi_{jk}(Y_j, Y_k).$$

Data marginal distribution:

$$p(Y) = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}} p(T) p(Y \mid T) \propto \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}} \prod_{(j,k) \in T} \underbrace{\beta_{jk} \psi_{jk}(Y_j, Y_k)}_{w_{ik}(Y)}.$$

Mixture of tree-shaped distributions [MJ06,Kir07].

Tree marginal distribution: product form

$$p(T) = B^{-1} \prod_{(j,k) \in T} \beta_{jk}, \qquad B = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}} \prod_{(j,k) \in T} \beta_{jk}.$$

Data conditional distribution (likelihood):

$$p(Y \mid T) \propto \prod_{(j,k)\in T} \psi_{jk}(Y_j, Y_k).$$

Data marginal distribution:

$$p(Y) = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}} p(T) p(Y \mid T) \propto \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}} \prod_{(j,k) \in T} \underbrace{\beta_{jk} \psi_{jk}(Y_j, Y_k)}_{w_{ik}(Y)}.$$

Tree conditional distribution: product form

$$p(T \mid Y) = C^{-1} \prod_{(j,k) \in T} w_{jk}(Y), \qquad C = \sum_{T \in T} \prod_{(j,k) \in T} w_{jk}(Y).$$

We need to sum over the set $\ensuremath{\mathcal{T}}$ of spanning trees, but

 $\mathsf{card}\,(\mathcal{T})=p^{p-2}$

We need to sum over the set $\ensuremath{\mathcal{T}}$ of spanning trees, but

$$\operatorname{card}\left(\mathcal{T}\right)=p^{p-2}$$

Matrix-Tree Theorem (Kirchhoff) [Cha82]. Let $W = [w_{jk}]$ be a symmetric matrix with null diagonal and $\Delta(W)$, its Laplacian:

$$\Delta(W)_{jk} = \left\{ egin{array}{cc} \sum_k w_{jk} & ext{if } j = k \ -w_{jk} & ext{otherwise} \end{array}
ight.$$

then

We need to sum over the set ${\mathcal T}$ of spanning trees, but

$$\operatorname{card}\left(\mathcal{T}\right)=p^{p-2}$$

Matrix-Tree Theorem (Kirchhoff) [Cha82]. Let $W = [w_{jk}]$ be a symmetric matrix with null diagonal and $\Delta(W)$, its Laplacian:

$$\Delta(W)_{jk} = \begin{cases} \sum_k w_{jk} & \text{if } j = k \\ -w_{jk} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

then

1. all the cofactors $[\Delta(W)]^{uv}$ of $\Delta(W)$ are equal

We need to sum over the set ${\mathcal T}$ of spanning trees, but

$$\operatorname{card}\left(\mathcal{T}\right)=p^{p-2}$$

Matrix-Tree Theorem (Kirchhoff) [Cha82]. Let $W = [w_{jk}]$ be a symmetric matrix with null diagonal and $\Delta(W)$, its Laplacian:

$$\Delta(W)_{jk} = \left\{ egin{array}{cc} \sum_k w_{jk} & ext{if } j = k \ -w_{jk} & ext{otherwise} \end{array}
ight.$$

then

1. all the cofactors $[\Delta(W)]^{uv}$ of $\Delta(W)$ are equal

2. and

$$[\Delta(W)]^{uv} = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}} \prod_{(j,k) \in T} w_{jk}$$

(i.e.: $\sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}}$ can be computed at the price of a determinant: $O(p^3)$)

S. Robin (Sorbonne Université / LPSM)

Edge probability

We may not be interested in the whole (conditional) distribution of T, but rather on edge probabilities:

 $\Pr\{(j,k) \in T\}$ or $\Pr\{(j,k) \in T \mid Y\}$.

Edge probability

We may not be interested in the whole (conditional) distribution of T, but rather on edge probabilities:

$$\Pr\{(j,k) \in T\} \quad \text{or} \quad \Pr\{(j,k) \in T \mid Y\}.$$

Theorem [Kir07]. Denote W^{ab} the same matrix as W but setting $w_{ab} = w_{ba} = 0$, then

$$[\Delta(W^{ab})]^{ab} = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}: (a,b) \notin T} \prod_{(j,k) \in T} w_{jk}$$

Edge probability

We may not be interested in the whole (conditional) distribution of T, but rather on edge probabilities:

$$\Pr\{(j,k) \in T\}$$
 or $\Pr\{(j,k) \in T \mid Y\}$.

Theorem [Kir07]. Denote W^{ab} the same matrix as W but setting $w_{ab} = w_{ba} = 0$, then

$$[\Delta(W^{ab})]^{ab} = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}: (a,b) \notin T} \prod_{(j,k) \in T} w_{jk}$$

• Gives access to
$$Pr\{(j,k) \notin T\}$$
 or $Pr\{(j,k) \notin T\}$

• All $[\Delta(W^{ab})]^{ab}$ can be computed at the price of one matrix inversion: $O(p^3)$

Spread of an epidemics

Outline

Reminder on graphical models and trees

Tree shaped distributions and mixtures

Spread of an epidemics

Susceptible-Infected-Susceptible (SIS) model

Data. *n* times, *p* individuals

$$Y_{tj} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if individual } j \text{ is infected at time } t \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

→ Complete observations

Susceptible-Infected-Susceptible (SIS) model

Data. *n* times, *p* individuals

$$Y_{tj} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if individual } j \text{ is infected at time } t \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

 \rightarrow Complete observations

Model. At each time t, each node k

• picks up a parent j at time t-1 with probability $\propto \beta_{jk}$ and,

▶ denoting $\psi_{jk}^t = \Pr{\{Y_{t+1,k} \mid Y_{t,k}, Y_{t,j}\}}$, it evolves according to

ψ_{jk}^t		$Y_{t+1,k} = 0$	$Y_{t+1,k} = 1$
$Y_{t,k} = 1$	$Y_{t,j} = 1$	е	1-e
$Y_{t,k} = 1$	$Y_{t,j} = 0$	е	1-e
$Y_{t,k} = 0$	$Y_{t,i} = 1$	1 - c	с
$Y_{t,k} = 0$	$Y_{t,j} = 0$	1	0

- c = contamination rate
- e = extinction rate (become susceptible again)

A tree-shaped path

Adding a fictitious root Δ (at time 0), a path is a tree $T = (T^1, \dots, T^{n-1})$:



A tree-shaped path

Adding a fictitious root Δ (at time 0), a path is a tree $T = (T^1, \dots, T^{n-1})$:



Define \mathcal{T}_{Δ} the set of oriented spanning tree

- over the nodes $\Delta \cup \{(t,k) : 1 \leq t \leq n, 1 \leq k \leq p\}$,
- rooted in Δ,
- with edges connecting only time-adjacent nodes $(j \neq k)$

 $\rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\Delta}$ = set of spanning trees going 'forward' in time ($|\mathcal{T}_{\Delta}| = (p-1)^{p(n-1)}$)

EM algorithm. Denote

$$\theta = (\beta = [\beta_{jk}], e, c)$$

EM algorithm. Denote

$$\theta = (\beta = [\beta_{jk}], e, c)$$

E Step: Compute the conditional distribution

$$p(T_{\Delta} = (T^1, \dots, T^{n-1}) \mid Y) = \frac{\prod_{t=1}^{n-1} \prod_{(j,k) \in T^t} w_{jk}^t}{\sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{\Delta}} \prod_{t=1}^{n-1} \prod_{(j,k) \in T^t} w_{jk}^t}, \qquad w_{jk}^t = \beta_{jk} \psi_{jk}^t$$

EM algorithm. Denote

$$\theta = (\beta = [\beta_{jk}], e, c)$$

E Step: Compute the conditional distribution

$$p(T_{\Delta} = (T^1, \dots, T^{n-1}) \mid Y) = \frac{\prod_{t=1}^{n-1} \prod_{(j,k) \in T^t} w_{jk}^t}{\sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_{\Delta}} \prod_{t=1}^{n-1} \prod_{(j,k) \in T^t} w_{jk}^t}, \qquad w_{jk}^t = \beta_{jk} \psi_{jk}^t$$

M Step: Update the parameters as

$$\widehat{\theta} = \arg \max \mathbb{E} \left[\log p_{\theta}(Y, T) \mid Y \right]$$

EM algorithm. Denote

 $\theta = (\beta = [\beta_{jk}], e, c)$

E Step: Compute the conditional distribution

$$p(T_{\Delta} = (T^1, \dots, T^{n-1}) \mid Y) = \frac{\prod_{t=1}^{n-1} \prod_{(j,k) \in T^t} w_{jk}^t}{\sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_{\Delta}} \prod_{t=1}^{n-1} \prod_{(j,k) \in T^t} w_{jk}^t}, \qquad w_{jk}^t = \beta_{jk} \psi_{jk}^t$$

M Step: Update the parameters as

$$\hat{\theta} = \arg \max \mathbb{E} \left[\log p_{\theta}(Y, T) \mid Y \right]$$

Critical step = E step.

- We now need to sum over the (huge) set of rooted oriented trees \mathcal{T}_{Δ} .
- Hopefully, a alternative version of the matrix-tree theorem enables to sum over all directed trees (W asymmetric) with given root [Cha82].

An easy situation



 $W = [w_{jk}^t]$ where $w_{jk}^t = \beta_{jk}\psi_{jk}^t$: Edges connect only time-adjacent nodes

An easy situation



Spread of an epidemics

In practice

Edge probabilities:

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{At a given time } t \colon & \mbox{Pr}\{(j,k) \in \mathcal{T}^t \mid \mathbf{Y}\} \\ \mbox{At least in one time:} & \mbox{Pr}\{\exists t : (j,k) \in \mathcal{T}^t \mid \mathbf{Y}\} \end{array}$

In practice

Edge probabilities:

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{At a given time } t \colon & \mbox{Pr}\{(j,k) \in \mathcal{T}^t \mid \mathbf{Y}\} \\ \mbox{At least in one time:} & \mbox{Pr}\{\exists t : (j,k) \in \mathcal{T}^t \mid \mathbf{Y}\} \end{array}$

Alternatives.

- Bayesian inference can be carried out for e an c
- Iterating the EM steps does not improve the performances very much
- Observing multiple waves of the epidemics (even over a shortest time-range) improves the accuracy (see next)

Design: Consider a graph G and launch the 'epidemics' along its edges.

Method: Predict if $(j, k) \in G$ based on edge probability $Pr\{\exists t : (j, k) \in T^t \mid Y\}$.

Design: Consider a graph G and launch the 'epidemics' along its edges.

Method: Predict if $(j, k) \in G$ based on edge probability $Pr\{\exists t : (j, k) \in T^t \mid Y\}$.

Parameters: p = 20 nodes

Design: Consider a graph G and launch the 'epidemics' along its edges.

Method: Predict if $(j, k) \in G$ based on edge probability $Pr\{\exists t : (j, k) \in T^t \mid Y\}$.

Parameters: p = 20 nodes

G = ER: Erdös, G = PA: preferential attachment

Design: Consider a graph G and launch the 'epidemics' along its edges.

Method: Predict if $(j, k) \in G$ based on edge probability $Pr\{\exists t : (j, k) \in T^t \mid Y\}$.

Parameters: p = 20 nodes

G = ER: Erdös, G = PA: preferential attachment

d = network density e = .05

Design: Consider a graph G and launch the 'epidemics' along its edges.

Method: Predict if $(j, k) \in G$ based on edge probability $Pr\{\exists t : (j, k) \in T^t \mid Y\}$.

Parameters: p = 20 nodes

G = ER: Erdös, G = PA: preferential attachment

d = network density e = .05

uW: one wave (n = 200)mW: 10 waves (n = 20)

Design: Consider a graph G and launch the 'epidemics' along its edges.

Method: Predict if $(j, k) \in G$ based on edge probability $Pr\{\exists t : (j, k) \in T^t \mid Y\}$.

Parameters: p = 20 nodes

G = ER: Erdös, G = PA: preferential attachment

d = network density e = .05

uW: one wave (n = 200)mW: 10 waves (n = 20)

s: forcing edge probabilities to be symmetric

Design: Consider a graph G and launch the 'epidemics' along its edges.

Method: Predict if $(j, k) \in G$ based on edge probability $Pr\{\exists t : (j, k) \in T^t | Y\}$.

Parameters: p = 20 nodes

AUC:

G = ER: Erdös, G = PA: preferential attachment

d = network density e = .05

uW: one wave (n = 200)mW: 10 waves (n = 20)

s: forcing edge probabilities to be symmetric

c= 0.4 d= 0.1



Illustration: Seed exchange network

Question: decipher the social structure underlying seed exchanges between farmers

Telangana region (India) data:

- ▶ *p* = 127 farmers
- n = 3 years
- 14 seed varieties (waves)

 $Y_{ti}^{h} = 1$ if farmer *i* holds variety *h* at time *t*.

No symmetry assumption.

More exchanges

- within the same caste
- within the same village
- from younger to older



Most probable donor for each farmer

Concluding remarks

Conclusion

Tree shaped mixtures

- Flexible model for multivariate distributions
- Base on a mixture with exponentially many components (p^{p-2})
- But giving access to edge probabilities at a low computational cost

Conclusion

Tree shaped mixtures

- Flexible model for multivariate distributions
- ▶ Base on a mixture with exponentially many components (p^{p-2})
- But giving access to edge probabilities at a low computational cost

Extensions

- 'Network' inference (= structure inference) [SRS19]
- Network comparison or network changes along time [SR17]
- Accounting for missing nodes ('actors') [RAR19,MRA20,MRA21]
- S-I-S model can be extended to more that two states (e.g. S-I-R models)

Conclusion

Tree shaped mixtures

- Flexible model for multivariate distributions
- ▶ Base on a mixture with exponentially many components (p^{p-2})
- But giving access to edge probabilities at a low computational cost

Extensions

- 'Network' inference (= structure inference) [SRS19]
- Network comparison or network changes along time [SR17]
- Accounting for missing nodes ('actors') [RAR19,MRA20,MRA21]
- S-I-S model can be extended to more that two states (e.g. S-I-R models)

Some questions

- Theoretical guaranties (e.g.: consistency of the estimated graph)?
- Numerical issues arising for large p or n (use tempering?)

Concluding remarks

References I

🛃 rbillon, L. Schwaller, S. Robin, A. Flachs, and G.D. Stone. Epidemiologic network inference. Statistics and Computing, pages 1–15, 2019. aiken. A combinatorial proof of the all minors matrix tree theorem. SIAM Journal on Algebraic Discrete Methods, 3(3):319-329, 1982. Ke Chow and C.N. Liu, Approximating discrete probability distributions with dependence trees, IEEE Transactions on Information Theory. IT-14(3):462-467, 1968. rshner, Learning with tree-averaged densities and distributions. In NIPS, pages 761–768, 2007. Lauritzen, Graphical Models, Oxford Statistical Science Series, Clarendon Press, 1996. Neilă and T. Jaakkola. Tractable Bavesian learning of tree belief networks. Statistics and Computing. March 2006. Momal, S. Robin, and C. Ambroise. Tree-based inference of species interaction networks from abundance data. Methods in Ecology and Evolution. 11(5):621-632, 2020. R. Momal, S. Robin, and C. Ambroise. Accounting for missing actors in interaction network inference from abundance data. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series C (Applied Statistics), 2021. Robin, C. Ambroise, and S. Robin, Incomplete graphical model inference via latent tree aggregation, Statistical Modelling, 19(5):545-568, 2019. whwaller and S. Robin. Exact Bayesian inference for off-line change-point detection in tree-structured graphical models. Statistics and Computing, 27(5):1331-1345, 2017. Schwaller, S. Robin, and M. Stumpf. Bayesian inference of graphical model structures using trees. J. Soc. Franc. Stat., 160(2):1–23, 2019.

'Tree-averaging' principle

Tree conditional distribution. p(T|Y)



'Tree-averaging' principle

Tree conditional distribution. p(T|Y)



Edge probabilities. $Pr\{(j, k) \in T \mid Y\}$



'Tree-averaging' principle

Tree conditional distribution. p(T|Y)



Edge probabilities. $Pr\{(j, k) \in T \mid Y\}$



Most probable edges.



(not a tree) [SRS19]